FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION

JUN - 2 2025

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
RICHMOND, VA

KEVIN JOHNSON,

V. Case No. 3:24-CV-00080 - HEH VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, etc. Plaintiff,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY
HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' BREACH OF SETTLEMENT

ACREEMENT

Plaintiff pro se hereby moves this court for an emergency hearing before the Magistrate Judge assigned to preside over enforcement of the December 4, 2024 Settlement Agreement herein to find defendants and defense counsels in substantial breach thereof, and to enable him to context and move to set aside the said Settlement Agreement as procured by duress, coercion and under influence, because:

1. On Man 1, TORS Plaintiff was transferred to the South Caroling Department of (prrections (scoc) based upon the December 4, 2024 Settlement Agreement and Virginia Interstate Corrections Compact (Icc) (viz, va. code § 53.1-216) which Ice was incorporated into the said agreement. The conditions under which Plaintiff has been held in scor have been in substantial breach of the Settlement and Icc, and Plaintiff believes he was transferred to scor when he was because only s before he'd made known to both defense coursels and his own counsels of record that he was in the process of seeking to set aside the said settlement Agreement because he was pressured into signing it and did so under duress and undre influence, where it was made clear to him that his life and safety were in imminent danger if he did not sign it. He then however, told his attorneys of record repeatedly to not submit and to withdraw the Settlement Agreement, both that day on December 4, Tory at court and later by phone, and

over and over thereafter. He also asked them repentedly to before the motion was ever ruled on, to withdraw the joint Motion to voluntarily dismiss this case based upon the Settlement Agreement. His attorneys repeatedly refused to honor these requests. His transfer to scoc and treatment in scor appear to be calculated to obstruct his known intentions to more to chollenge the Settlement Agreement. 2. Since being in scor plaintiff has been denied all of his legal property including the record of this case, needed to prepare and file the motion to set aside the Settlement Agreement. He has been denied the ability to call his attorneys of record and cannot write them because he doesn't have their addresses due to design of his lesal property. In scoc he has been placed in solitary confinement and bonned from use of the telephone and electronic messages by scac officials in stated retaliation for writing an article about his transfer and treatment in scac, and to prevent him from litigating - they also disabled his ability to access and use the westlaw me less research system which is ovailable to all others confined in scot, including those in solitary confinement. In scor he is also benned from lesal Calls with any but one attorney, and before such calls are permitted the attorney must initiate the call, provide their credentials, and be actively representing him in pendins legal proceedings. These criteria also prevent plaintiff from communicating with his counsel of record herause he cannot (ervey to them how to sot up a call and they are not representing him in a pending case.

3. Plaintiff has sent third Party messases to coursel of record Mirian Nameth to try to arrange such calls with her and co-coursel which she has failed to do. The conditions of scoc custody substantially breach the Sattlewent Agreement in derving and preventing his very ability to confer with his coursel of record thus preventing him from communicating to them his treatment and their ability to determine if / when there has

been breaches and to seek enforcement of thereof.

Y. The Settlement Agreement has and continues to be breached in the direct violation of the explicit and mandatory terms of the Virsinia Icc laws and policies incorporated into the Agreement. Va. Cove & S3. 1-216 (Article IV) Specifically requires that Vn officials ensure that plaintiff be afforded the same legal rights as he would have if still confined in Virginia's institutions. The provision states specifically:

"The fact of confinement in a receiving state shall not

deprive any inmate so confined of any legal rights which said inmate would have had if confined in an appropriate institution of the sending state." Id. However, the fact of plaintiff's scor has been used to strip him of every legal right he had is va Department of Corrections' (VOOC) custody, including, as already pointed out, the right to communicate with his counsel, to prepare and file motions herein, to access to and use of his property without notice or hearing, to attorney- client privilege, etc. All rights protected by the Va. Constitution's Bill of Rights. And all with the knowledge and involvement of defense counsels. In Scoc custody he cannot write orticles critical of scoc practices nor. communicate with the media at all and has been threatened and punished for doing so. In fact he was thrown into solitary confinement immediately upon transfer to scoc, although he was previously housed in general formlation at V Doc's Keen Mountain Correctional Center, as a threat and warning against litigating against, publicizing and writing about conditions in Scoc. In scoc's solitary confinement unit (exphemistrically called Restrictive Housing Unit [RHU]), he receives no opportunities for out of cell etercise et all, in violation of his rights under Va. (ode \$53.1-39.2 which entitles Virginia inmates to no less than four hours out of cell congregate activities daily in RAU, etc. 5. Also, because he wrote an article critical of

his transfer and treatment in Scoc, he was confronted and threatened on May 20, 2025 with physical harm and to have all of his lines of communications cut off by a scac
"Special Agent" Fergeli (phonetic spelling). Subsequently, based upon these threats his access to the phone and to send out mail & electronic messages was cut off. He never misused the phone nor mail. On May 22, 2025 Plaintiff was moved to a Rhu cell with a sign on the cell door stating:

"The inmake confined to this cell is correctly on phone / tablet restriction until further notice. Any questions or concerns should be directed to captain Blakeley."

Based upon this, Plaintiff cannot communicate with anyone the courts he conf write nor call his coursel of record

but the (ounts, the can't write nor call his counsel of recond in this case. He cannot communicate with loved ones nor the media. In fact a good journalist Phil wilayto, edition of the Virginia Defender new spaper contacted the SCAC on May 26, zozs to arrange an interview with Plaintiff and was told plaintiff cannot speak with the media. This and the aforesaid conditions in sepe violate plaintiffs Virginia - hased rights, constitutional and statutory, including under Article 1 & & 11, 12 and etc Va (onstitution, which are self-enforcing and must be upheld. Layla to. v. (oumanwents, 81 Va. App. 1169 (2024).

Plaintiff is compelled to file this notion pro se because he is not able to communicate with his council of record and conflicts of interest with said counsels who have repeatedly ignored and refused to monor his requests and interests in this case which procured the Settlement Agreement.

Plaintiff swears to the truth of the foregoing under penalty of perjury.

Therefore, this court should conduct an immediate hearing on the matter of substantial breach of the Settlement Agreement herein by defendants and defence counsel, order such compliance as uphows Plaintiffs' rights under Ice and enables him to prise his anticipated challenges to the Settlement or return him to his confinement as he was in VAOC'S Keen Mountain Correctional Center and any further relief deemed fair and just.

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiff pro se

Reply to: Kevin Johnson, No. MADERIAN 1007485

BROOMER BROOMER CONTRACTOR 1007485

VDOC Centralized Mail Distribution Center

3521 Woods Way, State Farm, VA 23160

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify having mailed a true copy of this document to Clerk, U.S. District (out, E. District of Va., 701 E. Broad St., Richmond, Va. 23219 by and copy to all councils of record by placing said document into care of custodial officials for mailing postage prepaid on this 27th day of May, 2025 to said entity.

